arXiv:1612.02181v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 7 Dec 2016

Information Dimension of Stochastic Processes on Networks: Relating Entropy Production to Spectral Properties

Oliver Mülken,[1] Sarah Heinzelmann,[1] and Maxim Dolgushev[1,2]

[1] *Physikalisches Institut, Universität Freiburg, Hermann-Herder-Str. 3, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany*[*]
[2] *Institut Charles Sadron, Université de Strasbourg & CNRS, 23 rue du Loess, 67034 Strasbourg Cedex, France*[†]

(Dated: December 8, 2016)

We consider discrete stochastic processes, modelled by classical master equations, on networks. The temporal growth of the lack of information about the system is captured by its non-equilibrium entropy, defined via the transition probabilities between different nodes of the network. We derive a relation between the entropy and the spectrum of the master equation's transfer matrix. Our findings indicate that the temporal growth of the entropy is proportional to the logarithm of time if the spectral density shows scaling. In analogy to chaos theory, the proportionality factor is called (stochastic) information dimension and gives a global characterization of the dynamics on the network. These general results are corroborated by examples of regular and of fractal networks.

PACS numbers: 05.90.+m, 64.60.aq 89.75.-k

I. INTRODUCTION

Many phenomena in physics, chemistry, biology or the social sciences can be modelled by stochastic processes [1]. The underlying dynamic equations can be of Fokker-Planck type, Langevin type, or classical master equations. For the latter, one considers the transition probabilities between different possible (mesoscopic) states the system under investigation can be found in. This approach has been and still is very powerful in describing such diverse phenomena as first passage problems [2], ergodicity breaking [3], or the population dynamics in reaction networks [4].

If the system of interest can be described by master equation with an underlying transfer matrix which is symmetric, one can diagonalize this matrix in order to obtain a spectral decomposition [1]. Then, it has been found that many (long-time) quantities depend on the behavior of the spectral density for small eigenvalues. For many systems, it has been found that this part of the spectral density shows scaling, which then translates to scaling of quantities such as the return probability with an exponent called spectral dimension [5].

In the long-time limit, many non-driven systems will reach a stationary state, which often is the thermodynamic equilibrium state [1]. The way in which this state is approached is determined by the temporal behavior of the transition probabilities. Since the equilibrium state is also the state with the largest entropy, one can also use the entropic measures to describe the temporal evolution of the system. This is also an important aspect in stochastic thermodynamics, see for instance [6–8]

In this paper, we discuss the temporal behavior of different time-dependent entropies, defined on the basis of the transition probabilities. As we are going to show, the temporal increase of these entropies can be related to the spectral dimension, if the underlying transfer matrix allows to define it. This enables us to give an analytical expression for the so-called (stochastic) information dimension, introduced on the basis of numerical calculations for percolation lattices [9, 10].

II. ENTROPY OF STOCHASTIC PROCESSES

We consider systems constituted by a set of possible states $|j\rangle$ $(j = 1, \ldots, N)$ and with a stochastic dynamics described on the basis of a master equation of the following type [1]

$$\dot{p}_{kj}(t) = \sum_{l=1}^{N} T_{kl}\, p_{lj}(t). \tag{1}$$

Here, $p_{kj}(t)$ denote the transition probabilities to go from state $|j\rangle$ to state $|k\rangle$ and the matrix $\boldsymbol{T}$ is the so-called transfer matrix with elements T_{kj}. We typically assume localized initial conditions of the type $p_{kj}(0) = \delta_{kj}$, where δ_{kj} is the Kronecker delta. The matrix $\boldsymbol{T}$ is real. If it is also symmetric, one can use the spectral decomposition $\boldsymbol{T}|\phi_n\rangle = -\lambda_n|\phi_n\rangle$, with the eigenstates $|\phi_n\rangle$ and where the eigenvalues $\lambda_n \geq 0$, to express the formal solution of Eq. (1) by

$$\begin{aligned} p_{kj}(t) &= \langle k|\exp \boldsymbol{T}t|j\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{-\lambda_n t}\langle k|\phi_n\rangle\langle\phi_n|j\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\lambda} e^{-\lambda t} \underbrace{\sum_{m=1}^{D(\lambda)} \langle k|\phi_m\rangle\langle\phi_m|j\rangle}_{\equiv D_{kj}(\lambda)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $D(\lambda)$ is the degeneracy of eigenvalue λ and $D_{kj}(\lambda)$ is a state-dependent weight depending on $D(\lambda)$.

* muelken@physik.uni-freiburg.de
† dolgushev@physik.uni-freiburg.de

The knowledge of $p_{kj}(t)$ is in principle sufficient to determine all dynamic and static properties of the considered system. For instance, the average probability to return to (or remain at) the initial state, $\overline{p}(t)$, allows for a global statement about, say, transport efficiency [11, 12]. Using Eq. (2), one has

$$\overline{p}(t) \equiv \frac{1}{N}\sum_j p_{jj}(t) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_\lambda e^{-\lambda t} D(\lambda), \tag{3}$$

which, in the limit $N \to \infty$ with a continuous spectrum, becomes

$$\overline{p}(t) = \int d\lambda\, \rho(\lambda)\, e^{-\lambda t}, \tag{4}$$

where $\rho(\lambda)$ is the spectral density [5].

A. Stochastic Entropy

Another quantity to characterize the stochastic process is the non-equilibrium (Shannon) entropy [1], given by

$$S_j(t) = -\sum_{k=1}^{N} p_{kj}(t) \ln[p_{kj}(t)], \tag{5}$$

which can still depend on the initial state $|j\rangle$. Averaging over all possible initial states yields

$$\overline{S}(t) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} S_j(t). \tag{6}$$

For localized initial states, $p_{kj}(0) = \delta_{kj}$, one has $S_j(0) = \overline{S}(0) = 0$. In the limit $t \to \infty$, the system approaches its equilibrium distribution $\lim_{t\to\infty} p_{kj}(t) \equiv p_{\rm eq}$, such that

$$S_{\rm eq} \equiv \lim_{t\to\infty} S_j(t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \overline{S}(t) = -N p_{\rm eq} \ln p_{\rm eq}. \tag{7}$$

For undirected finite networks with N nodes, one has $p_{\rm eq} = 1/N$, thus, $S_{\rm eq} = \ln N$.

B. Mean Field Approach

For intermediate times, the importance of the initial condition has decreased, such that we assume averaged transition probabilities

$$p_{kj}(t) \approx \begin{cases} \overline{p}(t) & \text{for } k = j \\ \dfrac{1-\overline{p}(t)}{N-1} & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

We then obtain the *mean-field* averaged entropy

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{S}_{\rm mf}(t) &\equiv -\overline{p}(t)\ln[\overline{p}(t)] - [1-\overline{p}(t)]\ln\left[\frac{1-\overline{p}(t)}{N-1}\right] \\ &= -\ln[\overline{p}(t)] + [1-\overline{p}(t)]\ln\left[\overline{p}(t)\frac{N-1}{1-\overline{p}(t)}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The most significant contribution for large intermediate/transient times comes from the first term, resulting in

$$\overline{S}_{\rm mf}(t) \sim -\ln[\overline{p}(t)] \geq 0. \tag{10}$$

This equation is especially intriguing, since it relates the dissipative entropy growth to the spectrum of the underlying transfer matrix via the spectral density $\rho(\lambda)$, which determines the behaviour of $\overline{p}(t)$. Furthermore, $-\ln[\overline{p}(t)]$ recovers the limit of $\overline{S}(t)$ for $t \to \infty$, namely, $-\lim_{t\to\infty}\ln[\overline{p}(t)] = \ln N$. We note that one also has $-\ln[\overline{p}(0)] = 0$, such that we find $-\ln[\overline{p}(t)] \in [0, \ln N]$.

C. Stochastic Information Dimension

Entropy can be viewed as a measure of the lack of information about the system. As such, it can be used to define, for an infinite system, the stochastic analog of the so-called information dimension known in chaos theory [13]:

$$d_i \equiv \lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\overline{S}(t)}{\ln t}. \tag{11}$$

Consequently, we define in the mean field approximation, on the basis of Eq. (10), and for an infinite system

$$d_{i,\rm mf} \equiv -\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\ln[\overline{p}(t)]}{\ln t}. \tag{12}$$

For finite networks we consider the behavior for long intermediate/transient times before saturation to the equilibrium value $S_{\rm eq}$ sets in.

Thus, the behavior of $\overline{p}(t)$ for large t gives the most significant contributions. By means of Eqs. (3) and (4), one finds that in this case the behavior of $\rho(\lambda)$ for small values of λ is important, since for long times all contributions for large values of λ have already died out. A large variety of networks show scaling behavior of $\rho(\lambda) \sim \lambda^\nu$, where $\nu = d_s/2 - 1$ is related to the so-called spectral dimension d_s [5]. It is straightforward to show that then [5, 11, 12]

$$\overline{p}(t) \sim t^{-d_s/2} \qquad \text{for } t \gg 1, \tag{13}$$

and

$$d_{i,\rm mf} = d_s/2. \tag{14}$$

It is not possible to derive in general such a relation for d_i itself, since for this it would be necessary to have the knowledge of the whole probability distribution $p_{kj}(t)$. However, we will show in the following examples that the same relation also holds for d_i.

III. EXAMPLES

A. Regular Networks

We start by considering regular networks. In its most basic form, this is a line or ring of N nodes which have $d_s = 1$. For the ring we can employ Bloch's theorem for the eigenstates [14], such that

$$p_{kj}(t) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{-\lambda_n t} e^{i\theta_n (k-j)}, \tag{15}$$

where $\theta_n = 2\pi n/N$ and i it the imaginary unit. The eigenvalue $\lambda_n = 2 - 2\cos\theta_n$. In the limit $N \to \infty$, one has

$$p_{kj}(t) = e^{-2t}\int_0^{2\pi} d\theta\, e^{2t\cos\theta} e^{i\theta(k-j)} = 2\pi e^{-2t} I_{(k-j)}(2t), \tag{16}$$

where $I_{(k-j)}(2t)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind [15]. For long time one has

$$I_{(k-j)}(2t) = (4\pi t)^{-1/2} e^{2t}, \tag{17}$$

which yields

$$p_{kj}(t) = (t/\pi)^{-1/2} \qquad \text{for all } k, j. \tag{18}$$

Thus, here we find that the entropy $S_j(t)$ for long times becomes independent of j and reads

$$S_j(t) = \overline{S}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\ln[t/\pi]. \tag{19}$$

Thus we have the logarithmic increase of $S_j(t)$ as well as for $\overline{S}(t)$ with

$$d_i = d_s/2 = 1/2. \tag{20}$$

Obviously, the same information dimension, $d_{i,\mathrm{mf}} = d_i$, is obtained from the mean-field approach, since the transition probabilities $p_{kj}(t)$ become state independent for long times. In particular, we obtain

$$\overline{p}(t) = e^{-2t}\int_0^{2\pi} d\theta\, e^{2t\cos\theta} = 2\pi e^{-2t} I_{(0)}(2t) \tag{21}$$

and therefore, for long times,

$$\overline{S}_{\mathrm{mf}} = \frac{1}{2}\ln[t/\pi]. \tag{22}$$

We note that this analysis is easily extended to regular networks of higher dimension d where one finds $d_s = d$. In this case the d-dimensional regular network is a direct product of d one-dimensional regular networks.

B. Fractal Networks

1. Generic solution of Master Equation

For some fractal networks, it has been shown by comparison to numerical computations, that the solution of the master equation is of stretched exponential form [16]. Exemplarily, so-called Sierpinski gaskets have a solution of the form

$$p_{kj}(t) \sim t^{-d_s/2} \exp\left(-a\xi_{k-j}^{\nu}\right), \tag{23}$$

where $\xi_{k-j} = |k-j|t^{-d_s/2d_f}$, d_f is the fractal dimension of the network and ν is related to the walk dimension $d_w = 2d_f/d_s$. Inserting this into Eqs. (5) and (6) yields

$$S_j(t) \sim \frac{d_s}{2}\ln t \sim \overline{S}(t), \tag{24}$$

and also confirms Eq. (10), i.e., $\overline{S}_{\mathrm{mf}}(t) \sim (d_s/2)\ln t$.

2. Vicsek fractals

As one particular example, we chose the Vicsek fractals [17]. These are tree-like structures which are built iteratively, starting from a simple star with f arms (generation $G = 1$); to each arm one attaches f equivalent stars, resulting in a larger structure (generation $G = 2$); f of such structures are then attached to the central structure (generation $G = 3$); etc., see Fig. 1 for a Vicsek fractal of generation $G = 3$ with $f = 4$. The total number of nodes in generation G is $N_G = (f+1)^G$.



FIG. 1. (color online) Sketch of a Vicsek fractal of generation $G = 3$ with $f = 4$.

While it is straightforward to calculate numerically the different entropies, in particular $\overline{S}(t)$ and $\overline{S}_{\mathrm{mf}}(t)$, we can also compare this to analytic expressions based on the knowledge of the spectrum. It is possible to obtain the eigenvalues of the transfer matrix iteratively, see [17] for

details. Thus, for finite G, we can compare the full numerical computation of $\overline{S}(t)$ to the leading term of $\overline{S}_{\rm mf}(t)$ given by Eq. (10), which only depends on the spectrum. Since the iterative computation of the spectrum also allows to obtain the spectral dimension [17]

$$d_s = \frac{2\ln(f+1)}{\ln(3f+3)} \tag{25}$$

we can check the validity of Eq. (14).

Figure 2 shows the comparison of $\overline{S}(t)$ with the leading term of $\overline{S}_{\rm mf}(t)$, Eq. (10), for $f = 4$ and $G = \{1, 2, 3\}$. One clearly notices the step-like structure of both quantities with increasing G. Moreover, one also finds a similar behavior with increasing t, albeit a shift to longer times for the leading term of $\overline{S}_{\rm mf}(t)$. This already indicates that the qualitative temporal increase of the entropy is mainly determined by the spectrum. In addition, also the step-like structure can be attributed to spectral properties, since the spectrum of Vicsek fractals is not smooth but rather separated into distinct segments corresponding to eigenvalues appearing with increasing G, see also Ref. [17] for a more detailed analysis of the spectrum. Step-like structures have also been observed for different quantities depending only on the spectrum, such as the storage and loss moduli for fractal polymers [18].



FIG. 2. (color online) Entropy $\overline{S}(t)$ and the leading term of $\overline{S}_{\rm mf}(t)$, Eq. (10), for $f = 4$ and $G = \{1, 2, 3\}$.

In Fig. 3, we compare $-\ln[\overline{p}(t)]$ with $(d_s/2)\ln(t)$ for $G = 6$ and different $f = \{2, 4, 6, 10\}$. Again there is a shift to longer times for the $(d_s/2)\ln(t)$. However, the step-like increase of $-\ln[\overline{p}(t)]$ is well approximated by the linear increase with $\ln(t)$. Thus, also for Vicsek fractal we conclude that, indeed a definition of an information dimension is reasonable, with $d_i = d_s/2$.

C. Counter example

A logarithmic-in-time increase of entropy will not always appear. As we have demonstrated above, the increase in entropy is related to the temporal behavior of $\overline{p}(t)$, which, in turn, is related to the spectral density $\rho(\lambda)$. Only if $\rho(\lambda)$ has a continuous power-law part for small values of λ, one finds the logarithmic increase. There are examples for which such a continuous part does not exist (at least for small values of λ). For instance, take the complete graph, where every node is directly connected by single bonds to the remaining $(N-1)$ nodes. The spectrum consists only of two values $\lambda_1 = 0$ with $D(\lambda_1) = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = N$ with $D(\lambda_2) = N - 1$. Thus, the only time-scale involved is Nt. Using in Eq. (2) that



FIG. 3. (color online) Different approximations of entropy, $-\ln[\overline{p}(t)]$ and $(d_s/2)\ln(t)$, for $G = 6$ and $f = \{2, 4, 6, 10\}$.

$$\sum_{m=2}^{D(\lambda_2)} |\phi_m\rangle\langle\phi_m| = \underbrace{\sum_{m=1}^{N} |\phi_m\rangle\langle\phi_m|}_{=\mathbf{1}} - |\phi_1\rangle\langle\phi_1|, \tag{26}$$

with

$$|\phi_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{l=1}^{N} |l\rangle, \tag{27}$$

we find that

$$p_{kj}(t) = \frac{1}{N}\Big[1 - \underbrace{e^{-Nt}\Big(1 - N\delta_{kj}\Big)}_{\ll 1 \quad \text{for } t \gg 1}\Big] \tag{28}$$

yielding

$$S_j(t) = -\frac{1 + (N-1)e^{-Nt}}{N} \ln\left[\frac{1 + (N-1)e^{-Nt}}{N}\right] - (N-1)\frac{1 - e^{-Nt}}{N} \ln\left[\frac{1 - e^{-Nt}}{N}\right] \tag{29}$$

Since all nodes are equivalent, one has $\overline{S}(t) = S_j(t)$. For long times and large N, this results in

$$\overline{S}(t) \approx \ln N - Ne^{-2Nt}, \tag{30}$$

thus an exponential convergence to the equilibrium value.

For the mean-field expression, we obtain $\overline{S}_{\rm mf}(t) \approx \overline{S}(t)$. However, for the leading term of $\overline{S}_{\rm mf}(t)$ given in Eq. (10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\ln[\overline{p}(t)] &= -\ln[1/N + e^{-Nt}] \\ &\approx \ln N - Ne^{-Nt} \text{ for } t \gg 1 \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

which also converges to the equilibrium value of $\ln N$ but in a different functional form than $\overline{S}(t)$ and $\overline{S}_{\rm mf}(t)$.

IV. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

For stochastic processes modelled by a classical master equation, we have related the logarithmic growth of the time-dependent entropy to the spectral properties of the underlying transfer matrix. Our findings indicate that a direct relation only exists if the spectral density shows scaling for small eigenvalues, namely, when one can define a spectral dimension. Then it is possible to define a stochastic information dimension which happens to be half the spectral dimension. We have corroborated our general statements by examples of regular and of fractal networks. In addition we have shown the different temporal growths for systems whose spectrum does not allow to define a spectral dimension.

Finally, we would like to mention a relation to statistical physics, which we think is important, but the analysis of which goes beyond the scope of this paper: Since entropy $S_j(t)$ will increase with time, so will $\overline{S}(t)$ as well as $\overline{S}_{\rm mf}(t)$. Therefore, we use their time-derivates to define the entropy production rate(s):

$$\dot{S}_j(t) = -\sum_{k=1}^{N} \dot{p}_{kj}(t) \ln[p_{kj}(t)], \tag{32}$$

$$\dot{\overline{S}}(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_j \dot{S}_j(t), \tag{33}$$

and, with Eq. (10), one further obtains

$$\dot{\overline{S}}_{\rm mf}(t) \sim -\frac{\dot{\overline{p}}(t)}{\overline{p}(t)} = \sum_\lambda \lambda \underbrace{\frac{\rho(\lambda) e^{-\lambda t}}{\sum_\lambda \rho(\lambda) e^{-\lambda t}}}_{\equiv p_t(\lambda)} \equiv \langle \lambda \rangle_t. \tag{34}$$

By realizing that $\langle \lambda \rangle_t$ is reminiscent of an average value with a time-dependent probability distribution $p_t(\lambda)$, one obtains a relation between the entropy production and the spectrum of the transfer matrix $\boldsymbol{T}$. We believe that this is certainly an issue worth of further investigation, in particular due to its striking resemblance of expressions known from equilibrium statistical physics, such as the average energy (identified with λ) at a given inverse temperature (identified with t) in the canonical ensemble [19].

We close by mentioning that an extension to open quantum systems might also be feasible. Bassed on, say, quantum master equations for the reduced density operator, one can define an extension of the stochastic information dimension via the temporal increase of the von-Neumann entropy [20].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Alex Blumen for fruitful discussion and valuable comments.

[1] N. G. Van Kampen, *Stochastic processes in physics and chemistry*, Vol. 1 (Elsevier, 1992).
[2] R. Metzler, S. Redner, and G. Oshanin, *First-Passage Phenomena and Their Applications*, Vol. 35 (World Scientific, 2014).
[3] A. G. Cherstvy, A. V. Chechkin, and R. Metzler, New Journal of Physics **15**, 083039 (2013).
[4] J. Goutsias and G. Jenkinson, Phys. Rep. **529**, 199 (2013).
[5] S. Alexander and R. Orbach, J. Phys. (Paris) Lett. **43**, L625 (1982).
[6] U. Seifert, Rep. Prog. Phys. **75**, 126001 (2012).
[7] M. Esposito, Phys. Rev. E **85**, 041125 (2012).
[8] C. Van den Broeck and M. Esposito, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications **418**, 6 (2015).
[9] P. Argyrakis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 1729 (1987).
[10] N. Pitsianis, G.L. Bleris, and P. Argyrakis, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 7097 (1989).
[11] O. Mülken and A. Blumen, Phys. Rev. E **73**, 066117 (2006).
[12] O. Mülken and A. Blumen, Phys. Rep. **502**, 37 (2011).
[13] E. Ott, *Chaos in Dynamical Systems* (Cambridge University Press, 1993).
[14] N. W. Ashcroft and N. D. Mermin, *Solid State Physics* (Saunders College Publishing, Philadelphia, 1976).
[15] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, eds., *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover, New York, 1972).
[16] J. Klafter, G. Zumofen, and A. Blumen, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **24**, 4835 (1991).
[17] A. Blumen, A. Jurjiu, T. Koslowski, and C. von Ferber, Phys. Rev. E **67**, 061103 (2003).
[18] J. Mielke and M. Dolgushev, Polymers **8**, 263 (2016).
[19] L. E. Reichl and I. Prigogine, *A modern course in statistical physics*, Vol. 71 (University of Texas press Austin, 1980).
[20] P. Schijven and O. Mülken, arXiv preprint arXiv:1408.3037 (2014).